

On Sat, Apr 2, 2022 at 10:25 AM Matt Piper <mpiper@piperzenk.com> wrote:

Thank you for all the effort Brad.

Just want to make sure I understand correctly:

The BOE suddenly stopped posting the community feedback they receive on our public website, and there is ample evidence that community feedback was being censored even before then.

So each and every taxpayer who wants access to the public's 2021 community feedback and related BOE responses, which are public records, for the purpose of transparency, will be charged \$6,078.00.

And each and every taxpayer who wants to know if the BOE was treating all community feedback similarly back in the day or showing political favoritism (for example by publically publishing all pro-Boys and Girls club feedback while simultaneously censoring other feedback) must pay \$6,078.00 for that transparency.

And each and every taxpayer who wants to know if their tax dollars are being used against them to pay legal counsel to help silence them or anyone else must pay \$151,101.00.

And any and every taxpayer who wants to know who knew what about the possible censorship scandal and what their tax paid employees did or did not do about it must pay \$151,101.00.

Do I understand the District's fees for transparency correctly?

Thank you,

Matt

On Sat, Apr 2, 2022 at 8:45 AM Ebert, Brad <bebert@kiel.k12.wi.us> wrote:

Matt,

My lead tech. person has done the work to determine how many emails he or someone in his office would have to go through and ran a test to determine how long it takes to go through emails. In regards to request

number 3, and even if I take my lowest hourly rate tech. employee to do this work, the estimated total comes to 151,101 dollars to go through every record to locate the records that you are seeking.

151,101 dollars t

In regards to requests 1 and 2, he applied the same test, but obviously had a significantly less amount of emails he or someone in his office would need to go through, and assuming I used my lowest paid hourly employee, this estimated total would be 6,078 dollars to go through every record to locate the records that you are seeking.

6,078 dollars tc

Thanks,

Brad

On Sat, Feb 19, 2022 at 5:36 AM Ebert, Brad <bebert@kiel.k12.wi.us> wrote:

Hello Matt,

The message below was shared with me as the open records request custodian. I am simply responding and letting you know that this message has been received. I can share with you that this request will be processed as quickly as reasonably practicable. We will begin the work on this request after we have fulfilled other requests that have been received by the district, which we are currently in the middle of at this time. I will ask Sheila to share with you the open records request form because this is clearly not a records request that will take little time and that we have immediately available for distribution. This request I would guess will take a significant amount of time for our staff to process through and gather. This request may also come at a financial cost, which will be determined based on the amount of time that will be committed to it by our staff. I will communicate that with you as we go along. This request will not be processed and completed in the next few weeks. The next step here is that I will have the open records request shared with you and I will communicate back and forth with you regarding how we are moving forward with it; it will be done in a timeframe that is as reasonably practicable as possible. I will continue to do my best for you Matt.

Thanks,

Brad

Hello Gentlemen of the Board,

I was recently made aware that there are at least four other citizens like myself who have written letters or emails to the Board that were never recorded in the agenda manager as community feedback, even before the change in practice.

To that end, in accordance with Wisconsin's Public Records Law, §§ 19.31-19.39, Wis. Stats. I request the following information and records regarding the Kiel Area School District:

1) From January 1, 2021 to present, all communications to any Board Member acting in that role from anyone generally considered to be part of the "public" or the "community" (so not district personnel, unless acting as a person) including but not limited to letters, emails, tweets, texts, notes, etc.

2) From January 1, 2021 to present, all responses from any Board Member acting in that role related to the above listed communications, including but not limited to letters, emails, memos, tweets, texts, notes, etc. Just trying to understand if and when anyone was advised that their communication was not approved "community feedback".

3) From January 1, 2021 to present, all communications involving any District personnel (including Board members, administration, staff, teachers, employees, and consultants including legal consultants) related to the above listed communications, including but not limited to letters, emails, memos, tweets, texts, notes, etc. Just trying to understand who knew what when, and what they did about it.

Thank you in advance for your attention to this matter.

Please advise if this information will not be available within a week or two at the most.

Respectfully submitted,

Matt Piper

tax payer